#### BEFORE THE ARIZONA VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:

PAUL BARRETT, DVM

Holder of License No. 2023 For the practice of Veterinary Medicine in the State of Arizona.

Respondent.

Case No.: 20-15

CONSENT AGREEMENT FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above captioned matter before the Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Board, and pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2201 <u>et. seq.</u> and A.R.S. § 41-1092.07 (F)(5), the undersigned party, Paul Barrett, D.V.M. ("Respondent"), holder of license No. 2023 for the practice of veterinary medicine in Arizona and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as final disposition of this matter.

## **CONSENT AGREEMENT**

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter pursuant to A.R.S. §32-2201, et. seq.
- 2. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement. Respondent has a right to a public hearing concerning this case. He further acknowledges that at such hearing he could

present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent irrevocably waives his right to such a hearing.

- 3. Respondent irrevocably waives any right to rehearing or review or to any judicial review or any other appeal of these matters.
- 4. The Consent Agreement, once approved by the Board and signed by the Respondent, shall constitute a public record, which may be disseminated as a formal action of the Board. Sufficient evidence exists for the Board to make the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law set forth in the Consent Agreement.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges and understands that this Consent Agreement will not become effective until the Board approves it and it is signed by the Board's Executive Director. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing and returning this Consent Agreement to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been issued by the Executive Director.
- 6. If any part of the Consent Agreement is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in force and effect.
- 7. Respondent acknowledges that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2232 and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2234.
- 8. This Consent Agreement and Order is effective on the date signed by the Board.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of veterinary medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent holds license No. 2023 for the practice of veterinary medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. On July 3, 2019, "Louis," a 10-month-old male French Bulldog was presented to Respondents associate, Dr. Erlichman, and was diagnosed with deep malacic corneal ulcer in the left eye; conjunctival pedicle graft was performed that day. The dog was noted in the medical record as fractious when handled. Later that day, the dog was discharged with medications, topical eye drops and oral medications.
- 4. On July 10, 2019, the dog was presented to Respondent and Dr. Erlichman for a recheck exam. Complainant administered Trazadone 50mg, ¾ tablet 2 hours prior to the visit as instructed. She had been administering the dog's medications topical and oral. Vitals and diagnostics were not performed due to the dog's temperament. The dog was muzzled and Respondent noted that the eye was healing nicely from the surgery.
- 5. On July 24, 2019, the dog was presented to Respondent for a recheck exam. Complainant had again administered the dog Trazadone prior to the visit as instructed. The dog was muzzled during the exam. The dog had a weight

= 20.3 pounds, unable to obtain temperature, pulse rate = 120bpm and a respiration rate = 60rpm. The dog's eye was healing well and Complainant was instructed to continue eye drops and recheck in one month.

- 6. On August 21, 2019, the dog was presented to Respondent for a recheck. Complainant had given the dog 1 50mg Trazadone two hours prior to the exam; Respondent refutes this statement. The dog was not muzzled. The dog had a weight = 21.5 pounds, no temperature unable, a pulse rate = 130bpm and a respiration rate = pant.
- 7. Technical staff, Ms. Bishop (JJ), attempted to administer drops into the dog's eye prior to Respondent entering the exam room but was unsuccessful. Complainant offered to place the drops in the dog's eyes the offer was declined; Respondent refutes this statement. According to Complainant, Respondent entered the exam room and attempted to place the eye drops in the dog's eye the dog bit Respondent, Respondent yelled "son of a bitch" and exited the room. When Respondent returned, he had a bandage on his finger and told Complainant that the dog bit the tip of his thumb off. Respondent then grabbed the dog, forced him on the table and yelled at the dog "No, no, no! You are not the alpha and your parents should not let you be the alpha;" Respondent refutes this statement. Complainant stated that it was hard to watch but trusted Respondent and was embarrassed the dog bit him. She did feel that the discipline should have occurred immediately after the bite however, not after Respondent returned to the room.
- 8. Complainant continued that Respondent became more aggressive and seemingly angry. He held the dog in the air with both hands around the dog's neck choking him. Respondent denies this; however, the witness supported the

20-15, Paul Barrett, DVM

action occurred. According to Complainant, Respondent said to the dog, "you are going to meet Jesus" or "you are going to have a talk with Jesus." Complainant realized this was no longer discipline and Respondent was intentionally hurting the dog. Ms. Bishop was trying to get the dog from Respondent's hands.

- 9. Respondent finished the exam on the dog and left the room. Ms. Bishop apologized to Complainant and stated she would be reporting the incident. Complainant paid for the dog's prescription and left, declining to set up another recheck exam.
- 10. According to Respondent, after the dog bit him, he did attempt to discipline the dog by placing the dog on his back and holding his hand over the dog's throat while giving the command, "no, no, no." Ms. Bishop's statement reads that after the dog bit Respondent, he swore and left the room. When Respondent returned, he forced the dog on his back by his neck and yelled "no" multiple times in the dog's face while holding his neck. Ms. Bishop attempted to get the dog away from Respondent as he was extremely upset, but he would not let her. Eventually, Respondent stopped and gave the dog to Ms. Bishop. Respondent finished the exam and left the room. Complainant was very upset.
- 11. Complainant had phoned her husband after leaving the building and later he went to the premises to speak with Respondent. Mr. Mendoza asked Respondent to apologize to his wife, Complainant, for his unprofessional conduct. Respondent did not feel he acted unprofessionally; therefore Mr. Mendoza got contact information for the premises CEO so he could file a complaint through the corporation.

12. Complainant did speak with the premises CEO who apologized and indicated an investigation would be conducted. Complainant reported that the dog has been traumatized by the incident and is skittish toward her husband and son.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

13. The Findings of Fact constitutes a violation of ARS § 32-2232 (12) as it relates to AAC R3-11-501 (1) for failure to show respect to the pet owner through courteous verbal interchange; and failure to provide professionally acceptable procedures due to Respondent's conduct, the behavior modification technique, the amount of time that passed between the bite and the behavior modification, and the decision to not muzzle a known aggressive dog.

# <u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it is **ORDERED** that Respondent, License No. 2023, be placed on **PROBATION** for a period of **one (1)** year, subject to the following terms and conditions that shall be completed within the Probationary period. These requirements include four (4) hours of continuing education (CE) as detailed below:

1. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT Respondent shall provide written proof satisfactory to the Board that he has completed two (2) hours of continuing education (CE) in addition to the existing continuing education required to renew a veterinary license. Respondent shall satisfy these two (2) hours by attending CE in the area of communication. Respondent shall submit written verification of attendance to the Board for approval.

- 2. Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS ORDERED THAT Respondent shall provide written proof satisfactory to the Board that he has completed two (2) hours of continuing education (CE) in addition to the existing continuing education required to renew a veterinary license. Respondent shall satisfy these two (2) hours by attending CE in the area of animal behavior. Respondent shall submit written verification of attendance to the Board for approval.
- 3. Respondent shall submit to the Board a written outline regarding how he plans to satisfy the requirement in paragraphs 1 and 2 for its approval within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Consent Agreement. All continuing education to be completed for this Consent Agreement shall be **pre-approved** by the Board. The outline shall include **CE course details** including, **name**, **provider**, **date(s)**, hours of **CE** to be earned, and a brief course summary.
- 4. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws/rules governing the practice of veterinary medicine in this state.
- 5. Respondent shall bear all costs of complying with this Consent Agreement.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is conclusive evidence of the matters described and may be considered by the Board in determining an appropriate sanction in the event a subsequent violation occurs. In the event Respondent violates any term of this Consent Agreement, the Board may, after opportunity for Informal Interview or Formal Hearing, take any other appropriate disciplinary action authorized by law, including suspension or revocation of Respondent's license.

ISSUED THIS 11 DAY OF May, 2020.

Victoriá Whitmore, Executive Director

ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

FOR THE BOARD:

Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board

Copy of the foregoing mailed by Certified, return receipt mail

Original of the foregoing filed This \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2020 with:

This \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2020 to:

1740 W. Adams St, Ste. 4600

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Jim Loughead, Chairperson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25